



790 Gov. Carlos G. Camacho Road
Tamuning, Guam 96913

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Professional Services for Management and Operations of a Short Term Intensive Psychiatric Treatment Stabilization 24-Hour Therapeutic Group Home for Children and Adolescents with Serious Mental Illness or Emotional Disorders

GBHWC RFP 03-2015

Response to Questions

October 01, 2015

1. Given a short-term psychiatric model, the RFP proposes a desire to move youths quickly back to their school environments without necessarily resolving the underlying diagnostic and socio-environmental problems. At the same time, the RFP proposes a standard recovery/rehabilitation model that necessarily is a longer-term issue. Please clarify or confirm this approach.

Answer: The RFP requests for a short term psychiatric model. The placement of the youth back to the school environment will depend on the assessment and recommendation of the WRAP team. Please refer to Section I. Background on page 7 of 90 and Section II. Scope of Work on page 14 of 90.

2. Is GBHWC desiring the Offeror to collaborate with DOE to integrate their respective programs in order to continue to provide Day Treatment and Rays of Hope levels of programming during school hours, but to do it within the TGH and the school system, respectively?

Answer: No.

3. Following GBHWC's reported discussions with DOE, does DOE have programming in place to begin receiving youths that are currently in Day Treatment that need to be transitioned?

Answer: Please refer to Section II. Scope of Work subsection D and subsection H on page 15 of 90.

4. Does DOE have programming in place to engage all aspects of the short-term model proposed by this RFP?

Answer: Please refer to Section II. Scope of Work subsection D on page 15 of 90.

5. Does DOE have short-term model procedures in place for the Offeror to plug its services into?

Answer: Please refer to Section II. Scope of Work subsection D on page 15 of 90.

6. The Offeror understands the limits to the length of stay set forth in the RFP for each youth to be according to the Wrap Team and no more than 12 months. What is the projected average length of stay?

Answer: Please refer to Section II. Scope of Work subsection E and subsection F on page 15 of 90.

7. Given the upper limit of 10, what is the anticipated average daily census in this short term model? i.e., how many youths are anticipated to typically be in care at the TGH at a given time in this short-term model?

Answer: Please refer to Section II. Scope of Work subsection E and subsection F on page 15 of 90.

8. The current services authorize the treatment of 10 residents and 7 day treatment clients. Based on this RFP the 7 day treatment slots are eliminated. Please confirm our understanding.

Answer: Please refer to Section II. Scope of Work subsection A on page 14 of 90.

9. If the understanding to the above question is confirmed, then the cost of treatment per child is anticipated to increase based on economies of scale. Please confirm our understanding.

Answer: The cost of treatment per child is dependent on prospective Offeror's proposal.

10. RFP requires Offeror to transition existing day treatment clients to district school within 6 months. Will Offeror be in default if the six month timeframe is exceeded even if transition is in accordance with Wrap Team and IEP Team plans or transition is not clinically advisable?

Answer: Please refer to the answer of question 1 on this response to questions.

11. This Offeror understands the items that must be billed to third parties. This Offeror also understands that it would never double bill a service. With that in mind, will GBHWC grant the Offeror 'deemed status' with the Centers for Medicaid Services (CMS) so that it can bill and collect on all possible services as required by the RFP?

Answer: Only CMS can decide the "deemed status" (of an organization).

12. Does GBHWC understand that some Offerors might be capable of billing Medicaid for a wider-range of services than the RFP indicates?

Answer: Payment for services of usual customary and reasonable eligible charges will be based by Medicaid guidelines.

13. In reference to published financial statements, how would this be scored? If a Offeror has never provided this service and it submits a published financial statement, will the full 10 points be allotted?

Answer: Please refer to Section IV. General Procedures subsection B Proposal Evaluation on page 25 - 26 of 90

14. How many and who requested copies of the RFP?

Answer: We cannot divulge information as this would violate Guam Procurement Law.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in the solicitation number above remain unchanged and in full force and effect.


Rey M. Vega

Director



**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
GBHWC RFP 03-2015**

DATE: 10/01/2015

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

By my signature below, I hereby acknowledge receipt of and compliance with this amendment to the above referenced RFP.

<i>NAME OF BIDDER OR OFFEROR</i>
<i>MAILING ADDRESS</i>
<i>PRINTED NAME</i>
<i>SIGNATURE</i>
<i>TITLE</i>
<i>DATE</i>

For those reviewing this proposal amendment from the website, this acknowledgement form can be dropped off at 790 Governor Carlos G. Camacho Road, Tamuning, Guam, during weekdays, except Holidays and weekends, faxed at 671-649-6948 or emailed to maelei.sampson@gbhwc.guam.gov.

Any questions regarding this amendment must be submitted in writing to the undersigned Procurement Officer.


REY M. VEGA

Procurement Officer

PHONE: 647-1901

FAX: 649-6948

E-MAIL: rey.vega@gbhwc.guam.guam