

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Professional Services for Management and Operations of a Short Term Intensive Psychiatric Treatment
Stabilization 24-Hour Therapeutic Group Home for Children and Adolescents with Serious Mental
Illness or Emotional Disorders

GBHWC RFP 03-2015
Amendment #3 and Response to Questions
September 24, 2015

The above numbered and described solicitation is amended as set forth below:

1. Section IV.A. Receipt and Registration of Proposals, Page 24 and 90

Extension. To extend the proposal deadline, the last sentence of the first paragraph shall read:

“The deadline for receipt of proposals by GBHWC is now extended to and no later than Friday, October 02, 2015, 4:00pm Chamorro Standard Time.”

2. Questions and inquiries. The last date to make any questions and further inquiries:

“The deadline for receipt of questions and inquiries by GBHWC is no later than Wednesday, September 30, 2015, 12:00pm Chamorro Standard Time.”

Response to Questions

1. Should this read "..... short term psychiatric treatment..." versus "psychological"? Title of proposal states "Psychiatric." Pages 6 and 14, paragraph 2 and Section II introduction, respectively

Answer: This RFP is for Psychiatric treatment; however it also requires psychological service of a psychologist for testing as well as for counseling/therapeutic services.

2. Is there an anticipated or desired planned date for DTSP to be placed in the school? Did the System of Care Council approve of this service removal? Page 7, paragraph 1

Clarification: The Day Treatment Service Program (DTSP) is different from the School/Educational Component (ROH). Day Treatment as stated in page 14 shall occur when the clients are at TGH from their school district. The school/Educational Component (ROH) shall be at the school setting. This has been discussed with GDOE, and referenced on page 15, section D, #3.

3. Please elaborate on what is meant by "short term psychiatric" treatment? What is the anticipated average length of stay? Pages 7 and 14, paragraph B.(1) and Section II introduction, respectively

Answer: Please refer to RFP, Scope of Work, Section II pages 14-21.

4. How many children are anticipated to be in TGH per year? What happens if there are no kids for a period of time? What is the purpose of the CIU, considering "short term psychiatric treatment? Page 7, paragraph B (2)

Answer: Please refer to RFP, page 15, E.

5. How many children are currently being treated off island? Where are they currently being treated? What is the expected timeframe for them to be returned from off-island? Will there be any expenses to be borne by the Offeror to help bring the children home? If so, what items need to be priced in the proposal? Page 7, paragraph B.(3)

Answer: None

6. What happens if a child under the age of 9, or over 18, is referred for treatment per the request of parent, SCOG or a licensed physician per the 10GCA, chapter 86 Residential Treatment? Will Offeror be required to accept admission? Page 14, paragraph Section II Introduction

Answer: Please refer to RFP, Scope of Work, page.14, B. Referral and Admission.

7. What happens if a "Non-enrolled referral" has not received wraparound services for 120 days and a referral is made for TGH treatment per the request of parent, SCOG or a licensed physician per the 10GCA, chapter 86 Residential Treatment. NOTE: Process appears too restrictive and not individualized. Will Offeror be required to accept admission? Page 15, paragraph Section II.B

Answer: Please refer to RFP, Scope of Work, page 15, B. Referral and Admission

8. The RFP says both that the IEP and Wrap recommendations will be followed and that the Day Tx will be phased out. Please clarify how discharges will be governed and decided. For example, what happens if the IEP and the Wrap decide Day Tx is the treatment of choice? Would a decision by the IEP and Wrap override the phase out Day Tx? Page 15, paragraph D2

Answer: Day treatment services are not being phased out, but shall be incorporated in the operations of the TGH.

9. What happens if the client is not ready to return to school within the six month transition rule?; same question for the 12 month discharge limit? Does the 6 month transition or the 12 month discharge directive override an IEP, Wrap or doctor's order? Page 15, paragraph D3

Answer: This will be addressed on a case by case basis based on child's needs and Child-Family Wrap Team assessment and recommendation.

10. Will the Offeror be required to provide mental health services on GDOE property, in the school setting? Who and what is meant by "mental health services in the schools". Day Tx currently provides a full and accredited program with trained supervisors and staff implementing integrated programming under the guidance of professionals. DOE does not have this capacity, how can children at the most challenging levels of SED going to be served? What will the Offeror have to provide DOE? Page 7, paragraph 1

Answer: No

11. Is Offeror required to have staff on standby during the day to handle a crisis?; who is responsible? If a TGH consumer becomes psychiatrically unstable and is to be removed and brought back to Offeror's facility, who manages the situation and transports student to residential facility? Page 7, paragraph 1

Answer: This shall be part of the Offeror's Program Management and Services as the caretaker for the client in their care.

12. RFP requires the Offeror to provide brief educational instruction. Will DOE provide the teacher? Is it possible for the Offeror to provide brief educational instruction on behalf of GDOE? Page 15, paragraph D.4

Answer: Offeror shall work with GDOE and the Child and Family Wrap Team in addressing the child's temporary educational needs outside of the school setting

13. Is there a situation where the treating psychiatrist might be someone who is not part of the Offeror's clinical team (e.g. a GBHWC, USNH psychiatrist)? Please elaborate. Page 15, paragraph H

Answer: RFP calls for psychiatric stabilization services inclusive of a psychiatrist.

14. Will 308 Father Duenas Drive and 168 Tun Josen Camacho Road be available for the delivery of services or is Offeror to use other facilities? Page 20, paragraph a.1.

Answer: No.

15. Does GBHWC have a sex education policy? If so, will a copy be provided to the Offeror? Page 20, paragraph b.8.

Answer: No, Offeror to develop own Sex Education Policy.

16. Is GBHWC interested in the Offeror's ability to bill Medicaid for TGH and CIU services?

Answer: Please refer to RFP, page 17, section X. Billing for services to third party payer covered under the contract is prohibited.

17. In reference page 59 of 90, D.4: Is GBHWC referring to the Offeror having a working relationship DOE? Please explain how GBHWC envisages this "brief education instruction" service to be implemented.

Answer: This is not the norm but the exception. The norm is for the client to be attending school at his/her school district although residing at TGH.

The exception is only if the Child and Family Wrap Team (including GDOE) have made the determination based on a thorough assessment of need/situation that the client needs a temporary instruction setting (in the home/TGH, similar to a home-schooling situation), with the goal to work toward returning back to the school district. The Offeror is then expected to follow the recommendation of the Child and Family team (which includes GDOE) in how this is going to be implemented, and work collaboratively with the CF Team/GDOE and transition the client back to his/her school district as soon as possible.

18. Is GBHWC interested in the Offeror working with GDOE to establish an integrated mental health and educational program as it currently exists today, as part of or outside the scope of this RFP? If so, please elaborate.

Answer: No

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in the solicitation number above remain unchanged and in full force and effect.


Rey M. Vega, Director

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
GBHWC RFP 03-2015
AMENDMENT #3
DATE: 09/24/2015



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

By my signature below, I hereby acknowledge receipt of and compliance with this amendment to the above referenced RFP.

NAME OF BIDDER OR OFFEROR
MAILING ADDRESS
PRINTED NAME
SIGNATURE
TITLE
DATE

For those reviewing this proposal amendment from the website, this acknowledgement form can be dropped off at 790 Governor Carlos G. Camacho Road, Tamuning, Guam, during weekdays, except Holidays and weekends, faxed at 671-649-6948 or emailed to maelej.sampson@gbhwc.guam.gov.

Any questions regarding this amendment must be submitted in writing to the undersigned Procurement Officer.

Rey M. Vega
Phone: 671-647-1946
Fax: 671-649-6948
E-mail: rey.vega@gbhwc.guam.gov